Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: **Spring 2002** Processed: **02Jun13**

Class: **SENG 480B/CSC 586B - S01**
Instructor: **D GERMAN**
Department: ***CSc***
Enrollment: ***21 students***

Questions	Class N	Class Average	-2 -1 0 +1 +2	*CSc* Average	Survey Average
THE COURSE	**20**	**1.03**	****		
grading scheme was made clear to me	20	1.55	******	1.35	1.29
objectives were made clear to me	20	1.05	****	1.17	1.10
assignments/problems helped understanding	20	1.35	*****	1.09	0.93
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	18	0.78	***	0.79	0.68
has an appropriate number of examples	20	1.25	*****	0.88	0.71
text helped understanding	20	0.75	***	0.63	0.50
material coincided with personal interest	20	0.90	****	0.61	0.65
workload was heavy (relative to others)	20	0.40	**	0.62	0.46
is such that I would recommend to others	20	1.20	*****	0.78	0.69
THE PROFESSOR	**20**	**1.50**	******		
expresses ideas with clarity	20	1.45	******	1.04	0.98
has a positive attitude about course material	20	1.60	******	1.36	1.36
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	20	1.50	******	1.01	0.94
is well organized and prepared	20	1.25	*****	1.17	1.13
uses visual aids effectively	20	1.50	******	1.10	0.96
provides effective feedback on performance	20	1.40	******	0.72	0.68
is concerned that students understand material	20	1.35	*****	1.01	0.97
creates a climate open to asking questions	20	1.65	*******	1.31	1.25
fairly considers students' suggestions	20	1.70	*******	1.19	1.13
displays a good understanding of the material	20	1.55	******	1.38	1.40
makes sufficient office hours available	19	1.47	******	0.95	0.88
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	20	1.60	******	1.24	1.16
overall teaching ability is excellent	20	1.50	******	1.05	1.00
THE LABORATORY		*n/a*			
work is instructive and relevant	0	*n/a*		0.94	0.85
work is well timed to the lectures	0	*n/a*		0.76	0.64
manual is useful	0	*n/a*		0.55	0.56
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	0	*n/a*		0.90	0.82
THE PROJECT	**2**	**2.00**	********		
is of appropriate length	2	2.00	********	0.81	0.76
contributes to understanding relevant material	2	2.00	********	0.92	0.89
is intellectually challenging	2	2.00	********	1.04	0.96
THE TUTORIALS	**1**	**2.00**	********		
are instructive	1	2.00	********	0.65	0.67
are well organized	1	2.00	********	0.55	0.63
instructor is competent and clear	1	2.00	********	0.60	0.65

Class N number of respondents to the question

Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by **Class N**

***CSc* Average** sum of **Class Average** for all *CSc* classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Survey Average sum of **Class Average** for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that *CSc* and **Survey Average** are weighted per class, not per respondent

SENG 480B/C SC 586B
D. GERMAN
SPRING 2002

- The assignments in this class are not helpful in understanding the material.

- Good course.

- I think the teacher should illustrate his examples using the web, rather than just using a projector!

- Good course. Could have had a better, more useful textbook.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. - 10 responses

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:
Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted.** The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

Textbook was an expensive paperweight + totally unnecessary
Assignments were useful except OOHDM one.
Should really have internet access in the every class - helps to outline pts (a web eng. class w/o the web? :))

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:
Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted.** The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

I enjoyed this course very much
Some suggested components to add are:
implementation tools
- CGI
- JSP, ASP, Cold fusion
- javascript & html

-More on modelling & Abstract Interface

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:
Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted.** The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

(see previous feedback)

generally worthwhile, possibly extract thread from classroom discussion and develop short assignment(s) to expose pattern and examples