

# Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2005 Summer

Processed: 05Sep14

Class: **SENG 400 - K01**  
 Instructor: **D. German**  
 Department: **CSc**  
 Enrollment: **57 students**

Questions	Class		-2	-1	0	+1	+2	CSc Average	Survey Average
	N	Average	+	-	+	-	+		
<b>THE COURSE</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1.33</b>							
grading scheme was made clear to me	16	1.69					*****	1.46	1.34
objectives were made clear to me	16	1.69					*****	1.34	1.25
assignments/problems helped understanding	16	1.44					*****	1.30	1.16
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	16	1.19					*****	1.20	1.05
has an appropriate number of examples	16	1.56					*****	1.17	0.96
text helped understanding	16	1.44					*****	0.70	0.56
material coincided with personal interest	16	1.25					*****	0.83	0.74
workload was heavy (relative to others)	16	0.19					*	0.73	0.55
is such that I would recommend to others	16	1.56					*****	1.00	0.84
<b>THE PROFESSOR</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1.66</b>							
expresses ideas with clarity	16	1.88					*****	1.33	1.15
has a positive attitude about course material	16	1.75					*****	1.57	1.49
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	16	1.75					*****	1.23	1.10
is well organized and prepared	16	1.62					*****	1.31	1.24
uses visual aids effectively	16	1.69					*****	1.32	1.19
provides effective feedback on performance	16	1.19					*****	1.08	0.96
is concerned that students understand material	16	1.69					*****	1.34	1.22
creates a climate open to asking questions	16	1.75					*****	1.46	1.38
fairly considers students' suggestions	16	1.69					*****	1.41	1.29
displays a good understanding of the material	16	1.81					*****	1.49	1.49
makes sufficient office hours available	16	1.31					*****	1.22	1.08
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	15	1.60					*****	1.33	1.24
overall teaching ability is excellent	16	1.81					*****	1.29	1.13
<b>THE LABORATORY</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.83</b>							
work is instructive and relevant	3	2.00					*****	0.92	0.97
work is well timed to the lectures	3	1.67					*****	0.90	0.89
manual is useful	3	2.00					*****	0.66	0.71
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	3	1.67					*****	0.90	0.99
<b>THE PROJECT</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.89</b>							
is of appropriate length	3	2.00					*****	0.95	0.98
contributes to understanding relevant material	3	1.67					*****	1.19	1.10
is intellectually challenging	3	2.00					*****	1.21	1.00
<b>THE TUTORIALS</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.78</b>							
are instructive	3	1.67					*****	0.97	1.02
are well organized	3	2.00					*****	0.85	0.81
instructor is competent and clear	3	1.67					*****	0.88	0.86

**Class N** number of respondents to the question  
**Class Average** sum of individual responses to the question divided by **Class N**  
**CSc Average** sum of **Class Average** for all **CSc** classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes  
**Survey Average** sum of **Class Average** for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that **CSc** and **Survey Average** are weighted per class, not per respondent



**MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:**

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

**COMMENTS**

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted**. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

I think the presentations can be done by individuals not by teams. I ended up doing just by myself anyway.

This course could be more essay/research oriented?

**MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:**

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

**COMMENTS**

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted**. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

I think the presentations can be done by individuals not by teams. I ended up doing just by myself anyway.

This course could be more essay/research oriented?