

Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2007 Spring

Processed: 07May22

Class: **CSC 370 - S01/S02**
 Instructor: **D. German**
 Department: **CSc**
 Enrollment: **38 students**

Questions	Class N Average	-2 -1 0 +1 +2 +---+---+---+---+---+	CSc Average	Survey Average
THE COURSE	26 1.04			
grading scheme was made clear to me	26 1.46		1.33	1.32
objectives were made clear to me	26 1.62		1.20	1.18
assignments/problems helped understanding	26 1.27		1.10	1.04
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	26 1.15		0.99	0.92
has an appropriate number of examples	26 0.96		0.98	0.85
text helped understanding	26 0.35		0.53	0.41
material coincided with personal interest	26 0.92		0.59	0.59
workload was heavy (relative to others)	26 0.46		0.47	0.48
is such that I would recommend to others	26 1.19		0.65	0.66
THE PROFESSOR	26 1.31			
expresses ideas with clarity	26 1.08		1.02	1.04
has a positive attitude about course material	26 1.65		1.42	1.44
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	26 1.35		1.01	1.02
is well organized and prepared	26 1.15		1.10	1.19
uses visual aids effectively	26 1.19		1.21	1.14
provides effective feedback on performance	26 0.92		0.86	0.83
is concerned that students understand material	26 1.35		1.14	1.13
creates a climate open to asking questions	26 1.58		1.32	1.35
fairly considers students' suggestions	25 1.32		1.26	1.25
displays a good understanding of the material	26 1.50		1.36	1.42
makes sufficient office hours available	24 1.12		1.11	1.10
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	26 1.38		1.24	1.30
overall teaching ability is excellent	24 1.38		1.03	1.04
THE LABORATORY	3 0.62			
work is instructive and relevant	3 1.00		0.79	0.80
work is well timed to the lectures	2 0.50		0.79	0.73
manual is useful	2 1.00		0.49	0.50
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	1 0.00		0.82	0.89
THE PROJECT	2 0.50			
is of appropriate length	2 0.00		0.76	0.75
contributes to understanding relevant material	2 0.50		0.89	0.93
is intellectually challenging	2 1.00		1.04	1.01
THE TUTORIALS	1 0.00			
are instructive	1 0.00		0.71	0.77
are well organized	1 0.00		0.59	0.67
instructor is competent and clear	1 0.00		0.74	0.76

Class N number of respondents to the question
Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by **Class N**
CSc Average sum of **Class Average** for all **CSc** classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes
Survey Average sum of **Class Average** for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that **CSc** and **Survey Average** are weighted per class, not per respondent

COMPUTER SCIENCE 370 S01/S02
D. GERMAN
SPRING 2007

- Fantastic course, only minor thing is sometimes the blackboard isn't erased properly and it makes things hard to read. But that's very minor compared to how well things went overall.
- Need more class time for this course so we don't rush materials.
- Great course. I wish there was a higher-level db course (i.e., more in-depth).
- Nice shirts
- No rule on failing exam = fail course please. ☺
- Really hard to follow in class. Makes mistakes often and confused himself, which makes the whole class pointless to come.
- The old rockstar guy takes away from other students learning.
- Generally I didn't like to work in groups. I thought working in a group would be harder and would take a longer time to complete tasks. Working in a group was one of my fears. However, after I've taken the course, I changed my attitude towards working in groups. I enjoyed it and I am glad that I took this course. I became more positive towards working in groups. It's a good thing for me.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. -12 responses

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

Daniel did an excellent job
of presenting the material
& motivating students.
He showed that he
cared about his students
learning.

I would only recommend,
that Daniel be a
little less messy
w/ his notes
on the board
during class.
It is sometimes
confusing.

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted**. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

You should have 3hr lectures if you want in-class groupwork.

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

I would prefer to work in a smaller team.

I'm disappointed with the 50-minute final. A 50 minute exam is NOT enough time. A three hour final allows you time to focus on questions. 50 minute exams are just a race and rarely is there enough time to think through questions ~~and~~ properly. When is "real life" do you have 50 minutes to complete something? It's a contrived situation.

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

- Computer Science
- Computer Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Mechanical Engineering
- Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted**. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.



I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.



Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

The upside of team learning is 2 heads are better than 1; the downside is the difficulty of agreeing on meeting times. I would highly recommend that "group" classes come with required "labs" that are webrequired before the course begins - two per week. This way, teams already know in advance that they have ~~the~~ allocated matching time slots.

Greater Visuals (pictures) would be helpful to understanding design