

University of Victoria Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Summer 2008

SENG 401 Course: Section: A01 Instructor: D. GERMAN Dept/Div: Enrolment: 35 Forms Scanned: 28 **Engineering**

Statements about the instructor(s):

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings								
		2				No.	Mean	SD	Median
1	0%	4%	0%	29%	68%	28	4.61	0.69	5.0
2	0%	0%	11%	18%	71%	28	4.61	0.69	5.0
3	0%	0%	11%	46%	43%	28	4.32	0.67	4.0
4	0%	0%	4%	52%	44%	27	4.41	0.57	4.0
5	0%	0%	29%	46%	25%	28	3.96	0.74	4.0
6	0%	4%	25%	39%	32%	28	4.00	0.86	4.0
7	0%	0%	14%	25%	61%	28	4.46	0.74	5.0
8	0%	0%	4%	29%	68%	28	4.64	0.56	5.0

Statements about the course:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings								
						No.	Mean	SD	Median
9	0%	0%	14%	54%	32%	28	4.18	0.67	4.0
10	0%	0%	18%	57%	25%	28	4.07	0.66	4.0
11	0%	4%	25%	43%	29%	28	3.96	0.84	4.0
12	0%	0%	14%	29%	57%	28	4.43	0.74	5.0
13	0%	0%	21%	54%	25%	28	4.04	0.69	4.0
14	0%	4%	14%	32%	50%	28	4.29	0.85	4.5
15	0%	4%	7%	39%	50%	28	4.36	0.78	4.5

Statements about self:

		Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable fit	
	Number:	17	5	1	0	5	
17	Number of sessions un	able to attend	d:				
			3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a	
	Number:	0	3	19	6	0	
18	Workload relative to o						
		Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light	
	Number:	8	14	3	1	2	
19	Approximate number of	of hourse of st	tudy per week outsi	de of class:			
		<1	1 <= 2	2<=5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	
	Number:	1	15	9	3	0	7
20	As a result of experience	ce in this cour	e, interest in mater	ial			
		Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased			

Addi	tiona	state	ments
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	STATE OF PERSONS	STREET, SQUARE,	SECURITIES .

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings						
						No.	
21	0%	0%	4%	21%	75%	28	
22	0%	0%	11%	39%	50%	28	
23	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	4	
24	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	4	
25	0%	6%	25%	38%	31%	16	



Faculty of Engineering

Course Experience Survey

(over...)

Course:		Section:	Instructor:						
		(eg. COM 205) (eg. F02)							
an	e Unive d for in ank you	ersity of Victoria values your feedback on your course experience. Your responses aproving the design of courses. All your answers are anonymous. Please contribut!	will be con te your rati	sidered in ngs by res	the assessmo	ent of ins he questic	tructors ons below.		
I	Inst	ructor's teaching.		Shade ci	rcles like this	:: 0			
•		ase provide your rating on the following statements:							
			Very Poor	Poor 2	Adequate 3	Good 4	Excellent 5		
			•	-	3	,	,		
	1.	The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0	0	0	0	0		
	2.	The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear	0	0	0	0	0		
	3.	The instructor motivated you to learn in this course	0	0	0	Ο.	0		
	4.	The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required	0	0	0	0	0		
	5.	The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time.	0	0	0	0	0		
	6.	The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course	0	0	0	0	0		
	7.	The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas	0	0	0	0	0		
	8.	Overall, the instructor was effective in this course	0	0	0	0	0		
Ħ	Cou	rse design.							
	Plea	se provide your rating on the following statements:	Very Poor	Door.	A doqueto	Cond	Eugallani		
			1	Poor 2	Adequate 3	Good 4	Excellent 5		
	9.	The course structure, goals and requirements were clear	0	0	0	0	0		
	10.	The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear	0	0	0	0	0		
	11.	The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content	0	0	0	0	0		
	12.	The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning	0	. 0	0	0	0		
	13.	The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair	0	0	0	0	0		
	14.	The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)	0	0	0	0	0		
	15.	Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience	0	0	0	0	0		

SENG 401 A01 D. German SUMMER 2008

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Extremely motivated, and had an amazing energy teaching it.
- Very interested and excited about the course material.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- Assignment statements could have been clearer. However, they were great.
- Better more frequently updated website. Foundl assignment outla???

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Amazing work Professor!
- · Interesting and applicable

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Such topic should have been covered before 4th year.
- The presentation and the wiki should have all been one project.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. -17 responses



Course Experience Survey Comments

and

Course:	Se	ction:		Inst	ructor:
(eg. COM 205)		(eg. F02)			
Comments will be made the course. Your comme					tructor in improving instruction sary.
Wherever possible, pleas	se use specific examp	les or suggestions	5.		
☐ I was satisfied	with this cou	rse and have	e no detaile	d commen	ts.
Please type m	y comments be	efore they a	re given to	the course	instructor.
What strengths did t	his instructor dem	onstrate that h	elped you to ic	earn in this co	ourse?
Licture .	ur engus	ing of inst	eresting		
Please provide specif	ic suggestions as to	how the instr	uctor could ha	ve helped you	ı lcarn more effectively.
Sometimes	ussgante r	eq v sermk	vin	a but	inches
What are the main at	nonatha af this cou				
What are the main st	•				•
Internating 15	56+5 + 6	Motosph	triffe	t enty	to Carrer

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Thank you for your comments!