Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: Fall 2002 Processed: 03Feb21

Class: Instructor:

CSC 370 - F01 D. GERMAN

Department: CSc

Enrollment: 72 students

Questions	П	Class	-2 -1 0 +1 +2	CSc	Survey
Questions	N	Average	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+	Average	Average
THE COURSE	43	1.02	***		
grading scheme was made clear to me	43	1.49	*****	1.29	1.18
objectives were made clear to me	43	1.33	****	1.15	1.08
assignments/problems helped understanding	43	0.93	***	1.09	1.03
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	43	1.26	****	0.86	0.80
has an appropriate number of examples	43	1.05	***	0.86	0.75
text helped understanding	43	0.84	***	0.57	0.48
material coincided with personal interest	42	0.74	***	0.54	0.46
workload was heavy (relative to others)	42	0.45	**	0.67	0.59
is such that I would recommend to others	43	1.12	***	0.62	0.58
THE PROFESSOR	43	1.31	****		
expresses ideas with clarity	43	1.09	***	0.91	0.87
has a positive attitude about course material	43	1.53	*****	1.35	1.28
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	43	1.28	****	0.88	0.83
is well organized and prepared	43	1.33	****	1.15	1.08
uses visual aids effectively	42	1.36	****	1.12	1.05
provides effective feedback on performance	42	1.07	***	0.63	0.65
is concerned that students understand material	42	1.21	****	0.92	0.89
creates a climate open to asking questions	42	1.50	****	1.14	1.07
fairly considers students' suggestions	42	1.40	*****	1.06	1.01
displays a good understanding of the material	42	1.40	*****	1.33	1.27
makes sufficient office hours available	42	1.12	* * * *	0.92	0.80
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	42	1.43	*****	1.22	1.14
overall teaching ability is excellent	41	1.34	* * * *	0.90	0.88
THE LABORATORY	4	0.12			
work is instructive and relevant	4	0.25	į*	0.70	0.61
work is well timed to the lectures	4	0.25	*	0.58	0.50
manual is useful	4	0.00		0.47	0.41
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	4	0.00		0.50	0.52
THE PROJECT	6	0.72	***		T
is of appropriate length	6	0.67	***	0.69	0.67
contributes to understanding relevant material	6	0.67	***	0.90	0.90
is intellectually challenging	6	0.83	* * *	0.98	0.93
THE TUTORIALS	5	0.40	**		
are instructive	5	0.40	**	0.64	0.68
are well organized	5	0.40	**	0.58	0.58
instructor is competent and clear	5	0.40	* *	0.70	0.68

Class N number of respondents to the question

Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by Class N

sum of Class Average for all CSc classes where at least one person responded to the CSc Average

question, divided by the number of such classes

sum of Class Average for all classes in the survey where at least one person respond-Survey Average

ed to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that CSc and Survey Average are weighted per class, not per respondent

COMPUTER SCIENCE 370 D. GERMAN FALL 2002

- I feel the classes were scrabbled had a hard time following and noting the key ideas. Needs to write notes in order and from left to right.
- You're good prof.
- Good work! (as usual)
- examples could be better prepared, sometimes caused confusion
- assignments same as above
- This course was good, but confusing.
- Work through examples on the slides would have been helpful.
- The text was vague in its expected answers
- Course was informative and interesting, but assignment questions taken from the text book were often ambiguous.
- Although professor German was quite a good teacher I think he tried to cram more course material into the class than was necessary and more than in previous sections of this course. The last few lessons seemed more like a Math 122 class than a database class. My advice to Professor German is to teach database skill to students that will be <u>valuable</u> in the <u>immediate future</u>.
- Great job teaching this class, maybe a bit more "practical" scenario while explaining theory would be good?
- Good job German.
- I enjoyed this course. By far the most interesting database course I have ever taken. However I thought (particularly assignment 3) that Dr. German assumed extensive knowledge of subjects not relevant to the course, which I find unfair.

- This class was informative and entertaining. The assignments were relevant and of appropriate length. I hope to take more classes with Dr. German.	
- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments 21 responses	

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are <u>provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted.</u> The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

	I was satisfied with this course and have no de	etailed comme	ents.	
	Please type my comments before they are give	en to the cours	se instruct	or.
Pr	refessor is excellen	\mathcal{A}		
	would renomined	hion	to	anjore
		5		

	Course Evaluation Questionnaire	可取使的键 (本語) 不 不 一种"一种"的	·李子·為教権 (1977) - 文章意思	
	MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR: Computer Science			to the sign
A	Computer Engineering Electrical Engineering		en e	*.1*
	Mechanical Engineering		e de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del comp	
	0.1			
	Other the state of	1	n en State a source	
	COMMENTS	£ 5 .75 11	osia except when a	name of the
	the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose t dossier. In each case, all comments submitted before they are given to the course instructor us original form will be destroyed after typing.	for that course must	be included. Comments	are not typed
. [I was satisfied with this course	and have no deta	iled comments.	
[Please type my comments before	re they are given	to the course instruc	tor.
ONLI	NE NOTES ARE A	t wont	PEE FOR STOR	THIUS.
GOOD	FIRST ATTEMPT	AT 7	ZACHING,	A course
EXE	RCISES GAVE A GOLLOURS		PEZATED D	•
IN	,	T EXPE	RIENCE.	in the second se
		<i>T,</i>	HANKS	
			Contest of Table Street	

Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation Questionnaire			
MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR: Computer Science			
Computer Engineering			
Computer Engineering Electrical Engineering Mechanical Engineering		en de la companya de La companya de la co	
Mechanical Engineering	the second	្រស់ ^ម ម្ភាក	
Other Contra out programs of same contractions of the contraction of t		un de la la seconda. La della	
course are most welcome. These comments are provide are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copi the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that co before they are given to the course instructor unless you original form will be destroyed after typing. I was satisfied with this course and have	es of the comments to the the comments from a cou- burse must be included. C check the appropriate box	e Department Chair ar arse in his or her teach Comments are not type a below in which case	nd/or ing ed
Please type my comments before they a	re given to the course	instructor.	
Daniel is a greated happy as he is led among profs. The was difficult to he change it.	tollow and	<i>L</i> 1	
It's Always a f	HEASUTE	io alla	na The

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Computer Engineering			
Electrical Engineering			
Mechanical Engineering	: 1 de	* 2 . *	
Other		A. Jan	

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are <u>provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted.</u> The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

	I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.
	Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.
Do	ariel German is a fantastic, dynamic instructor!
II.	ored the way he "revamped" this course and taught
us a	whole bunch of extra but useful, material.