

## University of Victoria Course Experience Survey

**Survey Summary Results: Spring 2010** 

Course: CSC 589D Section: A01 Instructor: DANIEL GERMAN

Dept/Faculty: Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering Enrolment: 14 Rate of Response: 100.0%

#### I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings				% Response to Scale Ratings Total # of					
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.	Mean	SD	Median	
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0	
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	14	4.64	0.50	5.0	
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0	
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0	
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	0%	64%	36%	14	4.36	0.50	4.0	
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	14	4.50	0.52	4.5	
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	0%	21%	79%	14	4.79	0.43	5.0	
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	14	4.64	0.50	5.0	

#### II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings Total # of								
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	0%	7%	57%	36%	14	4.29	0.61	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	0%	14%	43%	43%	14	4.29	0.73	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	14	4.64	0.50	5.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	0%	7%	29%	64%	14	4.57	0.65	5.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	7%	50%	43%	14	4.36	0.63	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0

#### **III Statements About The Students:**

16. My primary reason for taking the course:					
	Interest	Program	Reputation of	Reputation of	Timetable
		Requirement	Instructor	Course	Fit
Numb	<b>er:</b> 5	2	5	0	1

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:

	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	11	0	0	0	3

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:

	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	2	4	8	0	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	0	1	3	5	5	0

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	0	2	12

#### **IV Additional Statements:**

Statement % Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of	
	1	2	3	4	5	Resp.
21.	0%	0%	0%	29%	71%	14
22.	0%	0%	0%	21%	79%	14
23.	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	3
24.	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	3
25.	0%	0%	0%	31%	69%	13



# University of Victoria Course Experience Survey Survey Summary Results: Spring 2010

Dept/Faculty: Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering Year-Level: 500

#### I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings				Fotal # of	f			
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	0%	46%	54%	35	4.54	0.51	5.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	8%	50%	42%	36	4.33	0.63	4.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	3%	0%	8%	36%	53%	36	4.36	0.87	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	0%	42%	58%	36	4.58	0.50	5.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	9%	49%	43%	35	4.34	0.64	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	3%	6%	44%	47%	36	4.36	0.72	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	3%	19%	78%	36	4.75	0.50	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	3%	3%	33%	61%	36	4.53	0.70	5.0

#### II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings Total # of								
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	0%	14%	42%	44%	36	4.31	0.71	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	3%	14%	44%	39%	36	4.19	0.79	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	8%	33%	58%	36	4.50	0.65	5.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	0%	3%	33%	64%	36	4.61	0.55	5.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	6%	8%	36%	50%	36	4.31	0.86	4.5
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	8%	47%	44%	36	4.36	0.64	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	8%	36%	56%	36	4.47	0.65	5.0

#### **III Statements About The Students:**

	Interest	Program	Reputation of	Timetable	
		Requirement	Instructor	Course	Fit
Number:	21	4	5	2	2
	Number:		Requirement	Requirement Instructor	Requirement Instructor Course

17. The approximate number of classes of labs that rule not attend.					
	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	21	10	1	0	4

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:							
	Extremely	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat	Extremely		
	Heavy			Light	Light		
Number:	6	1/1	15	0	n		

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:						
<1 1 <= 2 2 <= 5 5 <= 8 8 <= 10 > 10						> 10
Number:	0	3	9	14	6	4

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

Decreased Stayed the same Increased

Number: 1 8 27

#### **IV Additional Statements:**

Statement		% Response to Scale Ratings				Total # of
	1	2	3	4	5	Resp.
21.	0%	3%	3%	33%	61%	36
22.	0%	0%	11%	31%	58%	36
23.	0%	0%	13%	13%	75%	16
24.	0%	0%	29%	14%	57%	7
25.	0%	0%	6%	26%	69%	35

#### CSC 589D A01 D. German Spring 2010

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

 Regular assignment. Instructor was always available for discussion and help regarding the subject.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

#### What are the main strengths of this course?

- Main strength: This course actually made me a "Graduate Student."
   Learnt how to approach to problems and solve them.
- This course teaches students how to do <u>real</u> research in computer science.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. -8 responses



Course: <u>CSC 589D</u> (eg. COM 205)	Section: (eg. F02)	Instructor: Daniel Germon.
Comments will be made available to the the course. Your comments are intended	instructors, after grades are find to be anonymous – no personal	alized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and identification is necessary.
Wherever possible, please use specific ex	xamples or suggestions.	
Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable		
I was satisfied with t	his course and have n	o detailed comments.
Please type my comr	nents before they are	given to the course instructor.
What strengths did this instructor Real experience in the Hands on experience wish	e research field.	u to learn in this course?  , and appen-source projects.
		uld have helped you learn more effectively.
I don't know, cant	think of any-	
What are the main strengths of thi	is course?	
. It's an active research	field.	
. The topics one very in	interesting,	

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

I would like to see a more descriptive course outline, that describes a bit more the Kind of activities in the course, so that people who are interested in the topic, will attend.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Cours	ee: <u>(SC 589 )</u> (eg. COM 205)	Section: (eg. F02)		Instructor: Daniel C	jerma,
Comme	ents will be made available to the i irse. Your comments are intended i	instructors, after grade	s are finalized, to assist t	he instructor in improving instru necessary.	ection and
Where	ver possible, please use specific ex	amples or suggestions.			
Please	enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable				
	I was satisfied with the	his course and l	nave no detailed o	comments.	
	Please type my comn	nents before the	y are given to the	e course instructor.	
What	strengths did this instructor of Good at mo		_ •	nis course?	
Please	provide specific suggestions	as to how the instru	ctor could have helpe	d you learn more effectively	·-
		It	was good, n	o improvements.	
What	are the main strengths of this Very ay have r	splicable,	it's an are	a 9 don't vience in.	
Please	provide specific suggestions		-		
	\rangle \cdot \cdo	s cood, no	ingraemen	<b>t</b> 5	

Continue on the back of the page with further comments



Course: CSC & P V (eg. COM 205)	Section: (eg. l	F02)	1	Instructor: _	Danie (	Germ
Comments will be made available to the in the course. Your comments are intended to					improving instri	uction and
Wherever possible, please use specific exa	imples or sugges	stions.				
Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable						
I was satisfied with th	iis course a	and have n	o detailed co	omments.		
Please type my comm	ents before	e they are	given to the	course in	structor.	
What strengths did this instructor d	emonstrate th	at helped yo	ou to learn in th	is course?		
Expert knowl	egle	W	related	, ar	265	
Please provide specific suggestions a	s to how the i	nstructor co	uld have helped	you learn 1	nore effectively	<b>y.</b>
What are the main strengths of this		î. PY	esentati	NO	assign	mentz
Please provide specific suggestions a			-		~ ~ \	
More guest Spe	akens	to wa	nd the	wol	Half	· of

Continue on the back of the page with further comments



Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Course: _	<u>CSC 58 SD</u> eg. COM 205)	Section: (eg. F02)	Instructor:	Daniel	Gorman
		nstructors, after grades are finalize to be anonymous – no personal iden		n improving ins	truction and
Wherever	possible, please use specific ex	amples or suggestions.			
Please ent	er 'X' in boxes, if applicable				
	I was satisfied with t	his course and have no d	letailed comments	•	
	Please type my comn	nents before they are giv	en to the course in	nstructor.	
What str	engths did this instructor of mprehenes was known the	demonstrate that helped you to onledge of the final son the	learn in this course?	esearch Appies.	
Please pr	ovide specific suggestions	as to how the instructor could	have helped you learn	more effectiv	ely.
What are	e the main strengths of this	course?			
Please pr	ovide specific suggestions	as to how this course could be	improved.		